

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,237 12/30/2003		Jeong-Hoon Kim	11038-133-999 8368			
24341	7590 11	/28/2005		EXAMINER		
MORGAN	, LEWIS & BO	NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T				
2 PALO AL	TO SQUARE					
3000 EL CA	3000 EL CAMINO REAL				PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALT	PALO ALTO, CA 94306				3683	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/749,237	KIM, JEONG-HOON	KIM, JEONG-HOON		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Lan Nguyen	3683			

zororo uro r ming or am r ippour zoror	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lan Nguyen	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s));	•	•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it before on an Aber date of filling - Ni	- 41 5 A 1 211	.4 b
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		
		Lan Nguyen D	11/22/05
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3683	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/749,237

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For the record, there are no amendments submitted with the Response filed 11/07/05. The Response filed 11/07/05 has been found to be non-persuasive. Applicant argues that Kurita dos not disclose any cushion blocks because electromagnets can not be construed as cushions. First, the claims do not specify the specific structures of the cushion blocks in order to exclude electromagnets to be construed as cushion blocks. Secondly, Kurita's electromagnets are performing the task of cushioning element 1b the same as Applicant's cushion blocks 5 cushioning element 11 Applicant also argues the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. It is maintained that claims 2 and 3 are repeating claims since claim 1 has already claimed the structure of the variable stiffness means as disclosed in the specification and equivalents thereof. The rejections are still deemed proper and are maintained as stated in the Final Rejection dated 8/4/05.